

Effect of planting and harvesting times on the

QRICULTURE, MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE

4UNITY DEVELOPMENT, MINISTRY OF INTERIOR

DEPARTMENT OF FOREIGN TRADE, MINISTRY OF ECONOMIC AFFAIRS

DEPARTMENT OF LAND DEVELOPMENT, MINISTRY OF NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

KASETSART UNIVERSITY

DEPARTMENT OF VOCATIONAL EDUCATION. MINISTRY OF EDUCATION

ROYAL FOREST DEPARTMENT, MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE

UNITED NATIONS ASIAN INSTITUTE FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING

UNITED STATES OPERATIONS MISSION TO THAILAND

THAI JUTE ASSOCIATION

APPLIED SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH CORPORATION OF THAILAND

# COOPERATIVE RESEARCH PROGRAMME NO. 1 PRODUCTION, PROCESSING, AND UTILIZATION OF KENAF AND ALLIED FIBRES

RESEARCH PROJECT NO. 1/4

EFFECT OF PLANT VARIETY, GROWING CONDITIONS, AND AGRONOMIC FACTORS

ON THE YIELD AND QUALITY OF KENAF FIBRE

REPORT NO. 5
EFFECT OF PLANTING AND HARVESTING TIMES ON THE YIELD OF KENAF

BY

PRAPANDH BOONKLINKAJORN
PRAWIT KRITTAYANAWACH

ASRCT, BANGKOK 1970

not for publication

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE

DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, MINISTRY OF INTERIOR

OFFICE OF ACCELERATED RURAL DEVELOPMENT, OFFICE OF THE PRIME MINISTER

DEPARTMENT OF FOREIGN TRADE, MINISTRY OF ECONOMIC AFFAIRS

DEPARTMENT OF LAND DEVELOPMENT, MINISTRY OF NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

KASETSART UNIVERSITY

DEPARTMENT OF VOCATIONAL EDUCATION, MINISTRY OF EDUCATION

ROYAL FOREST DEPARTMENT, MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE

UNITED NATIONS ASIAN INSTITUTE FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING

UNITED STATES OPERATIONS MISSION TO THAILAND

THAI JUTE ASSOCIATION

APPLIED SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH CORPORATION OF THAILAND

COOPERATIVE RESEARCH PROGRAMME NO. 1
PRODUCTION, PROCESSING, AND UTILIZATION OF
KENAF AND ALLIED FIBRES

RESEARCH PROJECT NO. 1/4
EFFECT OF PLANT VARIETY, GROWING CONDITIONS, AND AGRONOMIC FACTORS
ON THE YIELD AND QUALITY OF KENAF FIBRE

REPORT NO. 5
EFFECT OF PLANTING AND HARVESTING TIMES ON THE YIELD OF KENAF

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{Y}$ 

PRAPANDH BOONKLINKAJORN
PRAWIT KRITTAYANAWACH

ASRCT, BANGKOK 1970

not for publication

### FOREWORD

Cooperative Research Programme No. 1 involves cooperation between ASRCT and many other agencies as set out on the cover of this report.

The present report involves cooperative work between ASRCT (Plant Sciences Group, ARI) and the Department of Agriculture (Non Sung Agricultural Experiment Station), Ministry of Agriculture. It is concerned with a study on the effects of planting and harvesting dates on the yield of Thai kenaf variety Non Sung OOl at the Non Sung Agricultural Experiment Station, Nakhon Ratchasima.

# EFFECT OF PLANTING AND HARVESTING TIMES ON THE YIELD OF KENAF By Prapandh Boonklinkajorn\* and Prawit Krittayanawach\*

#### SUMMARY

In an attempt to examine the influence of planting and harvesting times upon the yield of Thai kenaf variety Non Sung 001, an experiment was carried out at the Non Sung Agricultural Experiment Station. The study showed that the earliest planting (17 May) produced better yield and that planting time at 30-day intervals showed significant effects on the yield. Such effects did not occur by the influence of the cutting time manipulated after the onset of flowering.

#### INTRODUCTION

Kenaf grows best within latitudes 30°N and 30°S of equator (Seale 1954). It has been used as a substitute for jute and has been successfully grown in many countries, especially in Asia, as a commercial crop. Kenaf ranks third in value among agricultural export of Thailand. It is the most important cash crops in the north-east.

Early varieties of kenaf should be harvested at 100 to 125 days (Gangstad et al. 1951; Kasipar 1967), and in the case of late varieties, at 130 to 150 days after planting (Staub and Limfat 1953; Kasipar 1967). Moreover, Gangstad et al. (1951) reported that the best yields were obtained from early planting. The height of the plant, and particularly the diameter of the stem, reduced in the late planting. Similarly, Seale et al. (1954) found that most of the fibre quality was affected by dates of planting, although not to the same extent as fibre yield. In general, the quality declined when it was planted late. In Florida, Pate et al. (1954) indicated that the Salvadorial variety could be planted for fibre after 15 March and harvested in about 100 days. Growth in height in plantings made in late summer was much reduced and flowering began about 20 October. With the onset of fruiting, the extraction of fibre became increasingly difficult. Flowering is dependent on the length of day, when the varieties viridis and vulgaris are grown; it has

<sup>\*</sup> Plant Sciences Group, Agricultural Research Institute, ASRCT.

been found that flowering does not occur until the daily light period is shortened to about  $12\frac{1}{2}$  hours, regardless of the time of planting (Kirby 1949).

In Mauritius, harvesting time is a critical and important factor in both qualitative and quantitative production of kenaf fibre (Staub and Limfat 1953) Har-Tzook (1965) emphasized that the effect of delay in the date of planting was remarkable and caused significant decrease in yield of fibre in all cases. Plant height, important for quality requirement of fibre, was also greatly affected by late planting. Kirby (1949) and several other workers agreed that yields of fibre from plants sown at different times vary roughly between  $1\frac{1}{2}$  and  $5\frac{1}{2}$  per cent. It is obvious, from the economic point of view, that planting should be made at the right time.

In Thailand, Kasipar (1967), Weeradecha (1959), and Department of Agriculture (1965) as cited by Boonklinkajorn et al. (1970) recommended that kenaf should be planted from the beginning of May to the first of June, and further pinpointed that the middle of May is the most suitable time for the operation. Contrarily, Boonklinkajorn et al. (1970) found at the Non Sung Agricultural Experiment Station that planting as early as 1 April produced higher yield. Further investigation attempting at the examination of the effect of harvesting date, starting from the onset of flowering, on the yield of kenaf is thus desirable. In the present study, three different planting dates have been used, since it is generalized that planting date causes a great reduction of the yield, and compensation or interaction may take place to a certain degree.

# MATERIALS AND METHOD

An experiment employing a split-plot design with 8 replications was conducted at the Non Sung Agricultural Experiment Station in 1969. That kenaf variety Non Sung 001 was used. Three planting dates, 17 May, 2 June, and 17 June, were assigned to the main plot, and six harvesting dates, 30 October, 5,13,20,27 November, and 4 December as sub-plot. Each treatment combination had an area of 3.6 x 3.6 metres including the border rows which were discarded at the harvest. Kenaf seeds were drilled in rows 30 cm apart. The seedlings were thinned to 5 cm between

plants when they attained a height of about 20 cm. Weeding was done whenever considered necessary. Ammonium sulphate and double superphosphate were side-dressed at the rate of 4 kg N and 8 kg  $\mathbb{P}_2^{0}$  per rai, respectively after thinning and weeding.

Data on plant height and fresh weight were recorded in the field, and yield of retted fibre was measured after the retting, which was done in an earth ditch.

#### RESULTS

# (i) Effect of planting date

The analysis of variance shows highly significant difference between the planting date treatments on the fresh weight, fibre weight, and plant height. Table 1 demonstrates that planting on 17 May produced the same yield of fresh weight and fibre weight as well as plant height as those of the 2 June planting, but significantly higher than those of the 17 June planting. Based on such yield components, the 2 June planting in its turn was not statistically better than the 17 June planting.

TABLE 1
MEAN FRESH WEIGHT, FIBRE WEIGHT, AND HEIGHT
OF KENAF AS INFLUENCED BY PLANTING DATE

Yield		Planting date	
component	17 May	2 June	17 June
Fresh weight	8.50	6.14	5.58
(tonnes/rai)	а	ab	ъ
Fibre weight	450.22	294.08	248.52
(kg/rai)	a	a <b>b</b>	Ъ
Height	199.15	169.59	163.02
(cm)	£.	ab	ъ

Note: Data were analyzed with the Duncan's new multiple range test. Values having a letter in common do not differ significantly at 5% level (Steel and Torrie 1960).

# (ii) Effect of harvesting date

As shown in Table 2 the plant height was affected by harvesting dates, but the fresh weight and fibre weight, on the other hand, did not show any influence of the harvesting date.

TABLE 2
MEANS OF YIELD COMPONENTS AS INFLUENCED BY HARVESTING DATE

Harvesting date	Average fresh weight 1/	Average fibre weight 1/	Average height
	(tonnes/rai)	(kg/rai)	(cm)
30 October	6.76	315.83	178.13
			bc
5 November	6.74	331,51	177.24
			bc
13 November	6.44	304.86	168.14
			cd
20 November	7.43	339.80	197.75
			а
27 November	6,70	320.27	184.42
			ab
4 December	6.39	333.36	157.86
			đ

<sup>1/</sup> No significant difference.

<sup>2/</sup> Values of different letters mean significant difference by Duncan's new multiple range test at 5% level (Steel and Torrie 1960).

# (iii) Interaction between planting and harvesting dates

Only the interactions between planting date and harvesting date upon the yields of fresh weight and fibre weight showed statistical difference (Tables 3 and 4). Harvesting on 20 November gave the best yield for the 17 May and 2 June plantings. The 17 June planting did not show any statistical difference on the fresh weight, as did on the fibre weight.

TABLE 3
MEAN OF FRESH WEIGHT OF KENAF AS INFLUENCED
BY PLANTING TIME AND HARVESTING TIME

Fresh weight (tonnes) Planting date			Total fresh weight	Mean of	
				fresh weight	
17 May	2 June	17 June	(tonnes)	(tonnes/rai)	
8.50	6.35	5.43	20.28	6 <b>.76</b>	
b	de	e			
8.32	6.19	5.17	19.68	6.74	
b	e	е			
7.63	5.50	6.19	19.32	6.44	
bcd	е	е			
10.34	6.59	5.35	22.28	7.43	
a	cde	<b>e</b>			
8.40	6.05	5.64	20.09	6.70	
р	e	e			
7.81	6.16	5.19	19.16	6.39	
bc	е	e			
51.00	36.84	32.97	120.81	40.46	
8.50	6.14	5.58	20.22	6.74	
	17 May  8.50 b  8.32 b  7.63 bed  10.34 a  8.40 b  7.81 be	Planting date  17 May 2 June  8.50 6.35 b de  8.32 6.19 b e  7.63 5.50 bcd e  10.34 6.59 a cde  8.40 6.05 b e  7.81 6.16 bc e  51.00 36.84	Planting date  17 May 2 June 17 June  8.50 6.35 5.43 b de e  8.32 6.19 5.17 b e e  7.63 5.50 6.19 bcd e e  10.34 6.59 5.35 a cde e  8.40 6.05 5.64 b e e  7.81 6.16 5.19 bc e e  51.00 36.84 32.97	Planting date fresh weight  17 May 2 June 17 June (tonnes)  8.50 6.35 5.43 20.28  b de e  8.32 6.19 5.17 19.68  b e e  7.63 5.50 6.19 19.32  bed e e  10.34 6.59 5.35 22.28  a cde e  8.40 6.05 5.64 20.09  b e e  7.81 6.16 5.19 19.16  bc e e  51.00 36.84 32.97 120.81	

Note: Values of the same letter mean non-significant difference by Duncan's new multiple range test at 5% level (Steel and Torrie 1960).

TABLE 4
MEAN OF FIBRE WEIGHT AS INFLUENCED
BY PLANTING TIME AND HARVESTING TIME

Harvesting date	Fi	.bre weight (	(g)	Total fibre	Mean of
	Planting date			weight	fibre weight
	17 May	2 June	17 June	(kg)	(kg/rai)
30 October	373.47	313.92	260.11	947.50	315.83
	cd	def	efgh		
5 November	442.91	289.48	262,15	994.54	331,51
-	abc	efgh	efgh		
13 November	397.61	249.43	267.53	914.57	304.86
	bc	fgh	efgh		
20 November 503.99	503.95	291,40	224.05	1019.40	339.80
	a	efgh	h		
27 November	405.08	319.64	236.08	960.80	320.27
	bc	de	gh		
4 December	458 <b>.</b> 28	300.59	241.22	1000.09	333.36
	ab	efg	gh		
Total	2581.30	1764.46	1491.14	5836.90	1945.63
Average	430.22	294.08	248.52	972.82	324.27

Note: Values of the same alphabet mean non-significant difference by Duncan's new multiple range test at 5% level (Steel and Torrie 1960).

#### DISCUSSION

This is another experiment showing that early planting produced better yield. Plant height, which is important for quality requirement of fibre, is greatly affected by the delay of planting. To obtain the highest yield, Kasipar (1967) suggested that kenaf should be planted as early as possible at the beginning of the rainy season. However, planting as early as 1 April was found by Boonklinkajorn et al. (1970) to produce the highest yield. Such an early planting is being practiced in certain kenaf growing areas such as in Changwat Chaiyaphum. Harvesting dates from 30 October to 4 December showed no statistical difference on the fresh and fibre yields. This demonstrated that after the onset of blooming, quantitative yields could not be increased by later cuttings. It is clearly seen and therefore concluded that no compensation of quantitative yield occurs to the late planting plots by the delay of harvesting.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Thanks and appreciations are due to Mr. Amnuay Kasipar of the Non Sung Agricultural Experiment Station, Department of Agriculture for making his staff members, facilities and field equipment available for the present study; to Dr. Siribongse Boon-Long and Dr. Narong Chomchalow, ARI, for their patient interest and suggestions in the study and preparation of the manuscript.

# REFERENCES

- BOONKLINKAJORN, P., KRITTAYANAWACH, P., CHOMCHALOW, N., AND KASIPAR, A. (1970).—Effect of planting date on the yield of kenaf. Thai J. agric. Sci. 3: 43-54.
- DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, RESEARCH AND EXPERIMENT STATION DIVISION.

  (1965)... รายงานประจำนี้ 2508 (Annual Report 1965). p. 47-53 (Bangkok).
- GANGSTAD, E.O., SEALE, C.C., PATE, J.B., and JOYNER, J.F. (1951).—
  Fiber crops of Florida (ramie, sansevieria, kenaf). The Soil
  Science Society of Florida, University of Florida Agricultural
  Station XI: 140-141.

- HAR-TZ00K, A. (1965).—Kenaf trials in Tanganyika. Wld Crops 17 (2): 51-54.
- KASIPAR, A. (1967).— Doung Dong 2199 (Jute and kenaf). Research and Experiment Station Division, Department of Agriculture (Thailand), technical bulletin.
- KIRBY, R.H. (1949).—Kenaf (<u>Hibiscus cannabinus</u> L.). <u>Fibres</u> 1949: 336-338.
- PATE, J.B., GANGSTAD, E.O., JOYNER, J.F., and SEALE, C.C. (1954).— Kenaf in South Florida. Gdn J. 1954 (Mar.-Apr.): 40-41.
- SEALE, C.C., PATE, J.B., and GANGSTAD, E.O. (1954).—The effect of date of planting and age at harvest on the yield and quality of kenaf fiber in South Florida. <u>Turrialba 4</u> (3-4): 125-130.
- STAUB, Serge, and LIMFAT, E. (1953).—Kenaf in Mauritius. <u>Wld Crops</u> 5 (2): 51-53.
- STEEL, G.D.R., and TORRIE, J.H. (1960).—"Principles and Procedure of Statistics." (McGraw-Hill Co., Inc.: New York.)